ATTORNEY SAMPLE DOWNLOAD

Critical Timeline™
Medical Intelligence Company
Organize. Understand. Decide.

CRITICAL TIMELINE CASE REVIEW

Attorney-Facing Sample Report

Organized records, key issues, and litigation-ready clarity

See how complex medical facts can be organized into a clean, attorney-focused review with clear next-step questions.

What attorneys see in this sample

- Source-cited chronology plus clinical issue-spotting
- Documentation gaps and escalation concerns
- Attorney and expert follow-up questions
- Structured, premium presentation for legal review

Fictitious sample • demonstration only

This sample is provided only to demonstrate report layout, organization, writing style, and the quality of the deliverable. It does not contain real patient information, legal advice, or medical advice.

Sample Report Overview

This sample demonstrates the higher-level Critical Timeline Review. Unlike a medical chronology alone, this deliverable combines source-cited timeline organization with nurse-led clinical issue spotting, documentation-gap review, escalation questions, and attorney/expert follow-up questions.

Field	Sample Entry
Prepared for	Anderson & Cole Trial Counsel (fictional sample client)
Matter / Client	J.R. v. Bayview Regional Medical Center - SAMPLE
Patient	J.R. - fictional 62-year-old male
Date(s) of care reviewed	03/14/2025 - 03/16/2025
Facility / providers	Bayview Regional Medical Center and Bayview EMS - fictional entities
Report date	05/26/2026
Prepared by	Dwayne Adams, RN / Critical Timeline?
Review type	Critical Timeline Case Review - ED deterioration/sepsis sample
Status	Sample final
Version	SAMPLE v1.0

1. Purpose of Review

Critical Timeline? was asked to review the available records related to the fictional ED care of J.R. and identify clinically relevant timeline issues, documentation gaps, escalation concerns, missing records, and questions that may require attorney or expert review.

- Review requested by: Anderson & Cole Trial Counsel (fictional).
- Scope of review: Emergency Department arrival through ICU transfer and operative intervention.
- Primary questions presented: timing of recognition, reassessment, antibiotics, imaging, escalation, transfer to ICU, and documented changes in condition.
- Known limitations: no EMS run sheet, no order audit trail, incomplete medication administration detail, no hospital sepsis policy, no provider communication logs.

Important boundary
This sample uses clinical concern language. It does not determine malpractice, legal duty, liability, proximate cause, case value, or statute-of-limitations deadlines. Counsel and qualified experts must make those determinations.

2. Case Snapshot

Case Element	Sample Information
Clinical setting	Emergency Department with subsequent ICU transfer and operative intervention.
Primary event	Fictional patient presented with fever, weakness, confusion, and abdominal pain; later diagnosed with perforated diverticulitis and septic shock.
Known outcome	ICU transfer, emergent exploratory surgery, prolonged hospitalization, rehabilitation discharge.
Date first event occurred	03/14/2025 at 18:12 - ED arrival by EMS, per ED tracking log.
Date outcome recognized	03/15/2025 at 03:10 - documented hypotension and worsening mental status, per nursing note.
Key clinical themes	Sepsis recognition, triage acuity, reassessment intervals, lab/imaging timing, antibiotic timing, provider notification, escalation to ICU/surgery.
Review priority	High - medically complex deterioration with several timing-dependent questions.

3. Records Reviewed

Every record reviewed in this sample is fictional. Source IDs are included to demonstrate the report format and traceability method.

Source ID	Record / Document	Date Range	Bates / PDF Pages	Notes
ED-001	Emergency Department record	03/14-03/15/25	SAMPLE-0001-0059	Triage, tracking, provider note, discharge/admission documentation
NURS-001	ED nursing notes/flowsheets	03/14-03/15/25	SAMPLE-0060-0112	Vitals, reassessments, pain, mental status, notifications
LAB-001	Laboratory results	03/14-03/15/25	SAMPLE-0113-0135	CBC, CMP, lactate, blood cultures
IMG-001	Radiology reports	03/14/25	SAMPLE-0136-0148	CT abdomen/pelvis report and timestamps
MAR-001	Medication administration record	03/14-03/15/25	SAMPLE-0149-0168	IV fluids, acetaminophen, antibiotics
ICU-001	ICU transfer note	03/15/25	SAMPLE-0169-0183	Hypotension, vasopressor start, ICU acceptance
OR-001	Operative report	03/15/25	SAMPLE-0184-0199	Exploratory laparotomy; perforated diverticulitis
DC-001	Hospital discharge summary	03/16-03/23/25	SAMPLE-0200-0218	Hospital course, complications, discharge disposition

4. Records Not Provided / Needed

Missing / Needed Record	Why It Matters	Priority	Requested / Pending
EMS run sheet	May clarify pre-arrival vitals, mental status, symptoms, field treatment, and time of first abnormal findings.	High	Pending
Provider order audit trail	May clarify exact order entry times for lactate, CT, antibiotics, fluids, consults, and ICU transfer.	High	Pending
Hospital sepsis protocol/policy	May help counsel/expert evaluate whether timeline events should be compared to facility-specific expectations.	High	Not provided
Provider communication logs	May clarify when surgery, hospitalist, ICU, or charge nurse notifications occurred.	Moderate/High	Pending
Complete MAR detail/pharmacy timestamps	May clarify medication availability and order-to-administration intervals.	Moderate/High	Partial only

5. Executive Summary

Based on the fictional records reviewed, the primary clinical issues appear to involve recognition of possible sepsis, reassessment after abnormal findings, antibiotic timing, CT result follow-up, and escalation after documented deterioration. Several events may warrant further attorney or expert review. Additional records are needed before a more complete clinical assessment can be made.

Executive Finding	Brief Detail	Priority
Timeline concern	Initial abnormal vital signs were documented at 18:21. Lactate resulted at 20:04. Antibiotic administration is documented at 21:47. The timeline raises a question regarding order-to-intervention intervals.	High
Documentation concern	No nursing reassessment entry was located between 19:05 and 20:00 despite abnormal vital signs and confusion noted at triage.	High
Escalation concern	CT result indicating perforated diverticulitis was available at 23:05; surgery consult documentation appears at 23:22. Later hypotension was documented at 03:10 with ICU transfer at 04:15.	Moderate/High
Missing records concern	EMS run sheet, order audit trail, sepsis protocol, and communication logs were not included in the sample record set.	High
Expert review likely needed	Emergency Medicine, ED nursing, critical care/sepsis, and general surgery experts may be considered by counsel.	Moderate/High

6. Key Clinical Issues at a Glance

Issue	Present / Unclear / Not Seen	Priority	Notes / Source
Timeline gaps	Present	High	No documented reassessment located from 19:05-20:00 (NURS-001, SAMPLE-0076-0084).
Delayed recognition question	Unclear	High	Abnormal vitals and confusion noted at triage; sepsis screen time not located (ED-001, LAB-001).
Delayed escalation question	Unclear	Moderate/High	Surgery consult and ICU escalation sequence requires communication logs and audit trail.
Documentation gaps	Present	High	Incomplete provider notification times and missing EMS record.
Medication timing issue	Present	High	Antibiotics documented at 21:47 after lactate result at 20:04; exact order timestamp needs audit trail.
Potential expert review needed	Present	Moderate/High	ED RN, Emergency Medicine, Critical Care/Sepsis, General Surgery.

SAMPLE - FICTITIOUS DEMONSTRATION REPORT

7. Medical Chronology + Clinical Significance

This table is the centerpiece of the Critical Timeline Review. It combines source-cited chronology with cautious clinical significance comments. This sample remains fictional and is not a legal or expert opinion.

Date / Time	Event	Source	Clinical Significance / Comment
03/14/25 18:12	Patient arrived by EMS for fever, weakness, confusion, and abdominal pain.	ED-001, SAMPLE-0004	Establishes start of ED episode and timing baseline. EMS record needed to clarify pre-arrival condition.
18:21	Triage vitals: T 39.0 C, HR 124, BP 96/58, RR 24, SpO2 94% RA. Patient described as intermittently confused.	ED-001, SAMPLE-0007	Abnormal vital signs and altered mental status are clinically important risk indicators requiring source-cited follow-up.
18:24	Triage level documented as ESI 3. No sepsis screen result located in provided triage note.	ED-001, SAMPLE-0008	Raises a question for further review regarding triage acuity and screening documentation.
18:58	Orders documented for CBC, CMP, lactate, blood cultures, IV fluids, and CT abdomen/pelvis.	ED-001/LAB-001, SAMPLE-0021,0116	Order audit trail needed to confirm exact order time and any delays between assessment and orders.
19:05	Nursing note: patient resting, reports 8/10 abdominal pain; family states patient is "not acting like himself."	NURS-001, SAMPLE-0074	Mental status concern continues. No documented reassessment located until 20:00 in provided notes.
20:04	Lactate resulted at 3.2 mmol/L; WBC 18.6 K/uL.	LAB-001, SAMPLE-0118	Abnormal results support need to review recognition and response timeline.
20:10	CT abdomen/pelvis ordered/stat status.	IMG-001, SAMPLE-0136	Compare order, completion, and result times.
20:20	Provider note documents concern for intra-abdominal infection; IV antibiotics planned.	ED-001, SAMPLE-0031	Medication order audit and MAR detail needed to clarify whether antibiotic order was entered at this time.
21:12	1 L normal saline bolus documented as started.	MAR-001, SAMPLE-0151	Fluid timing may be relevant to sepsis/resuscitation review.
21:47	Piperacillin/tazobactam documented as administered.	MAR-001, SAMPLE-0155	Potential order-to-administration interval requires audit trail/pharmacy detail.
22:18	CT abdomen/pelvis completed.	IMG-001, SAMPLE-0139	Elapsed time from order to completion approximately 2 hours 8 minutes if timestamps are accurate.
23:05	CT report available: acute diverticulitis with localized perforation and small free air.	IMG-001, SAMPLE-0142	Clinically important result. Review timeline from result availability to surgical/ICU escalation.
23:22	Surgery consult note initiated; plan for admission, IV antibiotics, serial abdominal exams.	ED-001, SAMPLE-0044	Consult timing appears after CT result; communication logs would help confirm notification time.
03/15/25 03:10	Nursing note: BP 82/46, HR 136, patient more lethargic; provider notified.	NURS-001, SAMPLE-0098	Deterioration and provider notification are key escalation points.
04:15	ICU transfer accepted; vasopressor support documented after arrival to ICU.	ICU-001, SAMPLE-0172	Need transfer center/ICU records to clarify acceptance and transport timeline.
08:30	Exploratory surgery performed; operative report notes perforated sigmoid diverticulitis with peritonitis.	OR-001, SAMPLE-0186	Endpoint of reviewed escalation sequence; causation and standard-of-care opinions require expert review.

8. Clinical Red Flags / Areas of Concern

Concern 1: Sepsis Recognition and Initial Risk Stratification

Field	Entry
Relevant timeline	18:12-20:04
Record support	ED-001; LAB-001
Clinical significance	Fever, tachycardia, borderline hypotension, tachypnea, confusion, and later elevated lactate appear in the fictional record. These items may be clinically significant when evaluating recognition and escalation.
Questions for further review	- Was sepsis screening completed and documented? - Was triage acuity consistent with the documented presentation? - What facility protocol applied to this presentation?
Additional records needed	EMS run sheet; sepsis protocol; triage audit data

Concern 2: Reassessment and Documentation Interval

Field	Entry
Relevant timeline	19:05-20:00
Record support	NURS-001
Clinical significance	No reassessment entry was located in the provided records during a period after abnormal triage vitals and family concern about mental status.
Questions for further review	- Were reassessments performed but not included? - Does the flowsheet or audit trail contain missing vital signs? - Was pain/mental status reassessed in a documented manner?
Additional records needed	Complete flowsheets; nursing audit trail

Concern 3: Antibiotic and Fluid Timing

Field	Entry
Relevant timeline	20:04-21:47
Record support	LAB-001; MAR-001
Clinical significance	Lactate resulted at 20:04 and antibiotic administration is documented at 21:47. Exact order, verification, availability, and administration times need confirmation.
Questions for further review	- What was the actual antibiotic order time? - Were there pharmacy or access delays? - Was the delay clinically significant?
Additional records needed	Order audit trail; pharmacy timestamps; complete MAR

Concern 4: Escalation After CT Result and Deterioration

Field	Entry
Relevant timeline	23:05-04:15
Record support	IMG-001; NURS-001; ICU-001
Clinical significance	CT report showed perforation; later hypotension and lethargy were documented before ICU transfer. The timeline may warrant review by counsel/expert.
Questions for further review	- When was the surgical service notified? - When was ICU consulted? - Could earlier escalation have changed the clinical course?
Additional records needed	Communication logs; transfer notes; ICU acceptance record

9. Documentation Gaps / Inconsistencies

Gap / Inconsistency	Location in Record	Why It Matters	Follow-Up Needed
No documented reassessment located from 19:05-20:00	NURS-001, SAMPLE-0074-0084	May affect evaluation of monitoring and response to documented abnormal findings.	Request complete flowsheets and audit trail.
Provider notification time unclear after deterioration	NURS-001, SAMPLE-0098	Escalation timing may be central to the clinical timeline.	Request communication logs and provider notes.
Antibiotic order time not clear	MAR-001, SAMPLE-0155	Order-to-administration interval cannot be reliably calculated without audit trail.	Request order history/pharmacy timestamps.
EMS condition not available	Missing source	Pre-arrival vitals and symptoms may affect timing of recognition and care sequence.	Request EMS run sheet.

10. Emergency Department Review Module

ED Review Area	Sample Findings / Concerns / Questions	Source
Arrival mode/chief complaint	Arrival by EMS for fever, weakness, confusion, abdominal pain. EMS sheet missing.	ED-001
Triage acuity/ESI level	ESI 3 documented despite fever, tachycardia, BP 96/58, RR 24, intermittent confusion.	ED-001
Initial vital signs/abnormal findings	Multiple abnormal findings documented at 18:21.	ED-001
Sepsis screening/infection concerns	No sepsis screen result located; lactate elevated at 20:04.	ED-001/LAB-001
Lab timing/critical results	Lactate resulted 20:04; WBC 18.6.	LAB-001
Imaging timing	CT ordered 20:10, completed 22:18, resulted 23:05.	IMG-001
Medication timing	Antibiotic administration documented 21:47; order time needs confirmation.	MAR-001
Provider notification/escalation	Provider notified at 03:10 for hypotension/lethargy; ICU accepted at 04:15.	NURS-001/ICU-001

ED Questions for Further Review

- Was the triage level consistent with the presenting complaint, vital signs, and risk indicators?
- Were abnormal findings reassessed and escalated in a clinically appropriate timeframe?
- Were lactate, imaging, and antibiotic timing clinically significant in this sample sequence?
- Are there gaps between orders, interventions, reassessments, and disposition?
- What additional records are needed before standard-of-care questions can be evaluated by counsel/expert?

11. Clinical Support Matrix for Attorney Review

Use this section carefully

This sample section organizes clinical facts around negligence elements for attorney review. It does not determine negligence, liability, legal duty, proximate cause, case value, or whether a claim should be filed. Counsel must make legal conclusions.

Element	Clinical Information Organized	Record Support	Questions for Counsel / Expert Review
1. Duty	The fictional record shows ED triage, nursing assessment, provider evaluation, orders, and treatment after patient arrival.	ED-001; NURS-001	Who accepted responsibility for care, when did it begin/end, and which providers/entities are legally relevant?
2. Breach of Duty / Standard of Care	The timeline raises questions regarding triage, sepsis screening, reassessment, antibiotics, and escalation.	ED-001; LAB-001; MAR-001	What exact standard applies to each discipline and clinical setting? Is an ED RN or Emergency Medicine expert needed?
3. Proximate Cause	The deterioration sequence occurred after abnormal triage findings, elevated lactate, CT result, and antibiotic/consult timeline.	Chronology rows 1-16	Is there a causal link between any questioned clinical event and the outcome? Could earlier recognition/escalation have changed the course?
4. Damages	Documented fictional outcomes include septic shock, ICU stay, exploratory surgery, prolonged hospitalization, and rehab discharge.	ICU-001; OR-001; DC-001	What injuries are documented, what damages are claimed, and what records verify extent/duration/future needs?

12. Discovery-Date Fact Tracker for Counsel

Legal deadline warning

This section is a fact tracker for counsel only. It does not calculate or advise on statute-of-limitations deadlines. Different jurisdictions have different rules, exceptions, notice requirements, discovery rules, minors provisions, public-entity claim requirements, and tolling doctrines.

Limitations / Discovery Fact	Date / Time	Source	Notes / Attorney Review Needed
Date of alleged injury / medical event	03/14-03/15/2025	ED-001; ICU-001	ED course and deterioration timeline. Attorney to determine legal significance.
Date patient/family first knew of bad outcome	03/15/2025	ICU-001; family update note	Family informed of ICU transfer and need for surgery per fictional note.
Date patient/family first suspected connection to medical care	Unknown from provided records	Not located	No correspondence or client interview included in sample records.
Date complication disclosed	03/15/2025	OR-001	Operative report documents perforated diverticulitis/peritonitis.
Date medical records requested	04/02/2025	Client correspondence sample	May be relevant to awareness timeline but does not equal legal deadline.
Government entity/public hospital issue	Unknown	Not in record	Attorney to determine whether shorter claim notice rules apply.
Jurisdiction/state	California assumed for sample only	Client intake sample	Attorney must determine applicable law.

13. Causation / Damages Questions for Further Review

Issue	Clinical Facts	Open Questions	Expert / Records Needed
Clinical deterioration	Hypotension/lethargy documented 03:10 with ICU transfer 04:15.	Could earlier recognition/escalation have changed the clinical course?	Critical care/sepsis expert; complete records
Treatment timing	Lactate 20:04, antibiotic documented 21:47, fluids 21:12.	Was timing clinically significant in relation to outcome?	Emergency Medicine; pharmacy/order audit
Documented injury/outcome	Septic shock, surgery, ICU, rehab discharge.	What is the extent, permanency, and future-care need?	Discharge records; follow-up; life care planner if needed
Functional loss	Rehab discharge and weakness documented in discharge summary.	What records support duration and severity?	Rehab records; therapy notes

14. Suggested Expert Specialties

Clinical Issue	Suggested Expert Specialty	Why This May Be Needed
ED triage/reassessment/nursing escalation	Emergency Department RN	Evaluate ED nursing standards, triage, reassessment, and escalation questions.
ED provider diagnosis/treatment	Emergency Medicine Physician	Evaluate emergency physician timing, diagnostics, and treatment questions.
Sepsis timeline	Critical Care / Infectious Disease / Emergency Medicine	Evaluate recognition, fluids, antibiotics, diagnostics, and escalation timing.
Surgical decision-making	General Surgery	Evaluate timing and indications for surgical consultation/intervention.
Future care needs	Life Care Planner / specialty physician	Evaluate long-term needs if damages analysis is requested by counsel.

15. Preliminary Clinical Impressions

Based on the fictional records reviewed, the clinically significant issues appear to involve timing of sepsis recognition, reassessment documentation, medication timing, imaging-to-consult sequence, and escalation after documented deterioration. These issues raise questions for attorney and expert review. Several limitations remain due to missing records, including the EMS run sheet, order audit trail, provider communication logs, sepsis protocol, and complete medication administration details.

16. Recommended Next Steps

Recommended Step	Reason	Priority
Obtain EMS run sheet	Clarify pre-arrival clinical condition and earliest abnormal findings.	High
Request order audit trail and complete MAR	Confirm order-to-administration and order-to-result intervals.	High
Request sepsis policy/protocol	Allows counsel/expert to compare timeline to facility-specific process.	High
Obtain communication/transfer logs	Clarifies notification and escalation timeline.	Moderate/High
Consider expert review after supplemental records	Needed before standard-of-care or causation opinions are reached.	Moderate/High

17. Limitations and Disclaimer

This sample Critical Timeline Case Review is based only on fictional records created for demonstration. Additional records may add, clarify, or change the sequence, observations, and questions identified in a real report.

This sample report does not provide legal advice, legal representation, medical diagnosis, treatment recommendations, lawsuit valuation, statute-of-limitations calculation, negligence determination, liability determination, causation opinion, damages opinion, or expert testimony. In real matters, counsel remains responsible for legal analysis, litigation strategy, legal conclusions, and applicable deadlines.

Sample watermark notice
Every page is marked as a fictitious sample. The purpose is to show potential clients the quality and structure of the deliverable without exposing real patient information.